

# Domain Specific Languages for Convex Optimization

**Stephen Boyd**

joint work with M. Grant, S. Diamond

Electrical Engineering Department, Stanford University

Institute for Advanced Study, City University of Hong Kong

September 12 2017

# Outline

Convex optimization

Constructive convex analysis

Cone representation

Canonicalization

Modeling frameworks

Conclusions

# Outline

Convex optimization

Constructive convex analysis

Cone representation

Canonicalization

Modeling frameworks

Conclusions

## Convex optimization problem — standard form

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & f_0(x) \\ \text{subject to} & f_i(x) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, m \\ & Ax = b\end{array}$$

with variable  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$

- ▶ objective and inequality constraints  $f_0, \dots, f_m$  are convex for all  $x, y, \theta \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$f_i(\theta x + (1 - \theta)y) \leq \theta f_i(x) + (1 - \theta)f_i(y)$$

i.e., graphs of  $f_i$  curve upward

- ▶ equality constraints are linear

## Convex optimization problem — conic form

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & c^T x \\ \text{subject to} & Ax = b \\ & x \in \mathcal{K}\end{array}$$

with variable  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$

- ▶  $\mathcal{K}$  is convex cone
  - ▶  $x \in \mathcal{K}$  is a generalized nonnegativity constraint
- ▶ linear objective, equality constraints
- ▶ special cases:
  - ▶  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{R}_+^n$ : linear program (LP)
  - ▶  $\mathcal{K} = \mathbf{S}_+^n$ : semidefinite program (SDP)
- ▶ the modern canonical form

## How do you solve a convex problem?

- ▶ use someone else's ('standard') solver (LP, QP, SOCP, ...)
  - ▶ easy, but your problem **must** be in a standard form
  - ▶ cost of solver development amortized across many users
- ▶ write your own (custom) solver
  - ▶ lots of work, but can take advantage of special structure
- ▶ transform your problem into a standard form, and use a standard solver
  - ▶ extends reach of problems solvable by standard solvers
- ▶ **this talk:** methods to formalize and automate last approach

# Outline

Convex optimization

**Constructive convex analysis**

Cone representation

Canonicalization

Modeling frameworks

Conclusions

## How can you tell if a problem is convex?

approaches:

- ▶ use basic definition, first or second order conditions, e.g.,  
 $\nabla^2 f(x) \succeq 0$
- ▶ via convex calculus: construct  $f$  using
  - ▶ library of basic functions that are convex
  - ▶ calculus rules or transformations that preserve convexity



## Convex functions: Basic examples

- ▶  $x^p$  ( $p \geq 1$  or  $p \leq 0$ ),  $-x^p$  ( $0 \leq p \leq 1$ )
- ▶  $e^x$ ,  $-\log x$ ,  $x \log x$
- ▶  $a^T x + b$
- ▶  $x^T P x$  ( $P \succeq 0$ )
- ▶  $\|x\|$  (any norm)
- ▶  $\max(x_1, \dots, x_n)$

## Convex functions: Less basic examples

- ▶  $x^T x / y$  ( $y > 0$ ),  $x^T Y^{-1} x$  ( $Y \succ 0$ )
- ▶  $\log(e^{x_1} + \cdots + e^{x_n})$
- ▶  $-\log \Phi(x)$  ( $\Phi$  is Gaussian CDF)
- ▶  $\log \det X^{-1}$  ( $X \succ 0$ )
- ▶  $\lambda_{\max}(X)$  ( $X = X^T$ )
- ▶  $f(x) = x_{[1]} + \cdots + x_{[k]}$  (sum of largest  $k$  entries)

## Calculus rules

- ▶ **nonnegative scaling:**  $f$  convex,  $\alpha \geq 0 \implies \alpha f$  convex
- ▶ **sum:**  $f, g$  convex  $\implies f + g$  convex
- ▶ **affine composition:**  $f$  convex  $\implies f(Ax + b)$  convex
- ▶ **pointwise maximum:**  $f_1, \dots, f_m$  convex  $\implies \max_i f_i(x)$  convex
- ▶ **partial minimization:**  $f(x, y)$  convex  $\implies \inf_y f(x, y)$  convex
- ▶ **composition:**  $h$  convex increasing,  $f$  convex  $\implies h(f(x))$  convex

## A general composition rule

$h(f_1(x), \dots, f_k(x))$  is convex when  $h$  is convex and for each  $i$

- ▶  $h$  is increasing in argument  $i$ , and  $f_i$  is convex, or
  - ▶  $h$  is decreasing in argument  $i$ , and  $f_i$  is concave, or
  - ▶  $f_i$  is affine
- 
- ▶ there's a similar rule for concave compositions
  - ▶ this one rule subsumes most of the others
  - ▶ in turn, it can be derived from the partial minimization rule

## Constructive convexity verification

- ▶ start with function given as **expression**
- ▶ build parse tree for expression
  - ▶ leaves are variables or constants/parameters
  - ▶ nodes are functions of children, following general rule
- ▶ tag each subexpression as convex, concave, affine, constant
  - ▶ variation: tag subexpression signs, use for monotonicity  
e.g.,  $(\cdot)^2$  is increasing if its argument is nonnegative
- ▶ sufficient (but not necessary) for convexity

## Example

for  $x < 1, y < 1$


$$\frac{(x - y)^2}{1 - \max(x, y)}$$

is convex

- ▶ (leaves)  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $1$  are affine expressions
- ▶  $\max(x, y)$  is convex;  $x - y$  is affine
- ▶  $1 - \max(x, y)$  is concave
- ▶ function  $u^2/v$  is convex, monotone decreasing in  $v$  for  $v > 0$   
hence, convex with  $u = x - y$ ,  $v = 1 - \max(x, y)$

## Example

analyzed by `dcp.stanford.edu` (*Diamond 2014*)



## Disciplined convex programming (DCP)

- ▶ framework for describing convex optimization problems
- ▶ based on constructive convex analysis
- ▶ sufficient but not necessary for convexity
- ▶ basis for several domain specific languages and tools for convex optimization



## Disciplined convex program: Structure

a DCP has

- ▶ zero or one **objective**, with form
  - ▶ minimize {scalar convex expression} or
  - ▶ maximize {scalar concave expression}
- ▶ zero or more **constraints**, with form
  - ▶ {convex expression}  $\leq$  {concave expression} or
  - ▶ {concave expression}  $\geq$  {convex expression} or
  - ▶ {affine expression}  $==$  {affine expression}

## Disciplined convex program: Expressions

- ▶ expressions formed from
  - ▶ **variables**,
  - ▶ **constants/parameters**,
  - ▶ and **functions** from a library
- ▶ library functions have known convexity, monotonicity, and sign properties
- ▶ all subexpressions match general composition rule

## Disciplined convex program

- ▶ a valid DCP is
  - ▶ convex-by-construction (cf. posterior convexity analysis)
  - ▶ 'syntactically' convex (can be checked 'locally')
- ▶ convexity depends only on attributes of library functions, and not their meanings
  - ▶ e.g., could swap  $\sqrt{\cdot}$  and  $\sqrt[4]{\cdot}$ , or  $\exp \cdot$  and  $(\cdot)_+$ , since their attributes match

# Outline

Convex optimization

Constructive convex analysis

**Cone representation**

Canonicalization

Modeling frameworks

Conclusions

## Cone representation

(Nesterov, Nemirovsky)

**cone representation** of (convex) function  $f$ :

- ▶  $f(x)$  is optimal value of cone program

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c^T x + d^T y + e \\ \text{subject to} & A \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = b, \quad \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{K} \end{array}$$

- ▶ cone program in  $(x, y)$ , we but minimize only over  $y$
- ▶ i.e., we define  $f$  by partial minimization of cone program

## Examples

- ▶  $f(x) = -(xy)^{1/2}$  is optimal value of SDP

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & -t \\ \text{subject to} & \begin{bmatrix} x & t \\ t & y \end{bmatrix} \succeq 0\end{array}$$

with variable  $t$

- ▶  $f(x) = x_{[1]} + \cdots + x_{[k]}$  is optimal value of LP

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \mathbf{1}^T \lambda - k\nu \\ \text{subject to} & x + \nu \mathbf{1} = \lambda - \mu \\ & \lambda \succeq 0, \quad \mu \succeq 0\end{array}$$

with variables  $\lambda, \mu, \nu$

## SDP representations

Nesterov, Nemirovsky, and others have worked out SDP representations for many functions, e.g.,

- ▶  $x^p$ ,  $p \geq 1$  rational
- ▶  $-(\det X)^{1/n}$
- ▶  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i(X)$  ( $X = X^T$ )
- ▶  $\|X\| = \sigma_1(X)$  ( $X \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ )
- ▶  $\|X\|_* = \sum_i \sigma_i(X)$  ( $X \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ )

some of these representations are not obvious ...

# Outline

Convex optimization

Constructive convex analysis

Cone representation

**Canonicalization**

Modeling frameworks

Conclusions



# Canonicalization

- ▶ start with problem in DCP form, with cone representable library functions
- ▶ **automatically** transform to equivalent cone program

## Canonicalization: How it's done

- ▶ for each (non-affine) library function  $f(x)$  appearing in parse tree, with cone representation

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{minimize} & c^T x + d^T y + e \\ \text{subject to} & A \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = b, \quad \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{K} \end{array}$$

- ▶ add new variable  $y$ , and constraints above
  - ▶ replace  $f(x)$  with affine expression  $c^T x + d^T y + e$
- 
- ▶ yields problem with linear equality and cone constraints
  - ▶ DCP ensures equivalence of resulting cone program

# Outline

Convex optimization

Constructive convex analysis

Cone representation

Canonicalization

**Modeling frameworks**

Conclusions

## Example

- ▶ constrained least-squares problem with  $\ell_1$  regularization

$$\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \|Ax - b\|_2^2 + \gamma \|x\|_1 \\ \text{subject to} & \|x\|_\infty \leq 1\end{array}$$

- ▶ variable  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$
- ▶ constants/parameters  $A, b, \gamma > 0$

## CVX

- ▶ developed by M. Grant
- ▶ embedded in Matlab; targets multiple cone solvers
- ▶ CVX specification for example problem:

```
cvx_begin
    variable x(n)    % declare vector variable
    minimize sum(square(A*x-b)) + gamma*norm(x,1)
    subject to norm(x,inf) <= 1
cvx_end
```

- ▶ here  $A$ ,  $b$ ,  $\gamma$  are **constants**

## Some functions in the CVX library

function	meaning	attributes
<code>norm(x, p)</code>	$\ x\ _p, p \geq 1$	cvx
<code>square(x)</code>	$x^2$	cvx
<code>square_pos(x)</code>	$(x_+)^2$	cvx, nondecr
<code>pos(x)</code>	$x_+$	cvx, nondecr
<code>sum_largest(x,k)</code>	$x_{[1]} + \cdots + x_{[k]}$	cvx, nondecr
<code>sqrt(x)</code>	$\sqrt{x}, x \geq 0$	ccv, nondecr
<code>inv_pos(x)</code>	$1/x, x > 0$	cvx, nonincr
<code>max(x)</code>	$\max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$	cvx, nondecr
<code>quad_over_lin(x,y)</code>	$x^2/y, y > 0$	cvx, nonincr in $y$
<code>lambda_max(X)</code>	$\lambda_{\max}(X), X = X^T$	cvx
<code>huber(x)</code>	$\begin{cases} x^2, &  x  \leq 1 \\ 2 x  - 1, &  x  > 1 \end{cases}$	cvx

## CVXPY

- ▶ developed by S. Diamond
- ▶ embedded in Python; targets multiple cone solvers
- ▶ CVXPY specification for example problem:

```
from cvxpy import *  
x = Variable(n)  
cost = sum_squares(A*x-b) + gamma*norm(x,1)  
obj = Minimize(cost)  
constr = [norm(x,"inf") <= 1]  
prob = Problem(obj,constr)  
opt_val = prob.solve()  
solution = x.value
```

## Parameters in CVXPY

- ▶ symbolic representations of constants
- ▶ can specify sign
- ▶ change value of constant without re-parsing problem
- ▶ computing a trade-off curve for example problem:

```
x_values = []  
for val in numpy.logspace(-4, 2, num=100):  
    gamma.value = val  
    prob.solve()  
    x_values.append(x.value)
```



## Signed DCP in CVXPY

function	meaning	attributes
<code>norm(x, p)</code>	$\ x\ _p, p \geq 1$	cvx, nondecr for $x \geq 0$ , nonincr for $x \leq 0$
<code>square(x)</code>	$x^2$	cvx, nondecr for $x \geq 0$ , nonincr for $x \leq 0$
<code>huber(x)</code>	$\begin{cases} x^2, &  x  \leq 1 \\ 2 x  - 1, &  x  > 1 \end{cases}$	cvx, nondecr for $x \geq 0$ , nonincr for $x \leq 0$

# Outline

Convex optimization

Constructive convex analysis

Cone representation

Canonicalization

Modeling frameworks

Conclusions

## Conclusions

- ▶ DCP is a formalization of constructive convex analysis
  - ▶ simple method to certify problem as convex
  - ▶ basis of several domain specific languages for convex optimization
- ▶ modeling frameworks make rapid prototyping easy

## References

- ▶ *Disciplined Convex Programming* (Grant, Boyd, Ye)
- ▶ *Graph Implementations for Nonsmooth Convex Programs* (Grant, Boyd)
- ▶ CVX (Grant, Boyd)
- ▶ CVXPY (Diamond, Boyd)